## <u>REMARKS</u>

In the Office Action, Claims 1-16 were rejected as follows: Claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,282,419 to Findikli in view of International Publication No. WO 01/17125 A1 of Soliman; and Claims 9-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Findikli in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,324,399 to Salmivalli and further in view of Soliman. Claims 1 and 9, which are the pending independent claims.

In the Office Action, it was not stated that a Home Location Register (HLR) of Findikli does not directly set a search period, as in the present invention, and Soliman does not cure this defect of Findikli.

At page 17, lines 9 to 13, Soliman discloses a search window size provided from a base station which is communicating with a terminal, and not from the HLR, as taught by the present invention. Further, according to Soliman, the base station transmits the search window size to a mobile station that is located in a cell in which the base station serves. That is, the teaching of Soliman is limited to minimizing a search time considering a mobile location within the serving cell. However, Soliman fails to recite varying the search period in accordance with updated location information of a mobile station, as claimed in the present invention. Findikli does not cure this defect. Accordingly, the combination of Findikli and Soliman, either alone or in view of any other cited reference, fails to teach or reasonably suggest that the HLR variably sets the search period according to the updated location information, as in the present invention.

It is believed that the rejection of Claims 1 and 9 should be withdrawn, and these claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-8 and 10-16, these claims are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims.

PATENT APPLICATION Attorney Docket No: 678-1134 (P10758)

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-16, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

The Farrell Law Firm, P.C. 333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Suite 701 Uniondale, New York 11553 (516) 228-3565 Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant(s)